

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,697	12/10/2001	Jacques Berlemont	P67396US0	9897
75	90 01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN			YOON, TAE H	
400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	1, DC 20001		1714	
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	J			
Office Action Summary		10/007,697	BERLEMONT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tae H Yoon	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum o y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10)□	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign langual Acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	suments have been received. Suments have been received in the priority documents have been as the priority documents have been sumerated. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In a list of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S. the first sentence of the spectage provisional application has omestic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No een received in this National Stage not receivedC. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data stage) s been receivedC. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spec	cation) Sheet. cific			
Attachmen	• •						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/007,697

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited "the cured polymer" in line 3 of claim 1 lacks an antecedent basis.

With respect to claim 9, it is unclear what additional species are allowed into the genus of the terminology modified by "types". The word "type" therefore makes the modified terminology indefinite. See Ex parte Copenhaver, POBA, 1955, 109 USPQ 118-119. The recited "derivatives" is also indefinite. Improper Markush language is recited and a proper format is "--selected from the group consisting of A, B, C--- and Z". The recited "—the base polymer is selected from polymers or their derivatives of the rubber, styrene-butadiene rubber ---- elastomer or themoplastic synthetic high polymer types" is confusing since it contains genera and species, and a separate claim having a narrow scope is suggested. The recited "the rubber" in line 3 lacks an antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 59024627.

JP teaches multi-colored granules comprising a binder and coloring materials in abstract. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schonfeld et al (US 6,017,984).

Schonfeld et al teach polymeric pellets having the instant shade in abstract and examples. Coated substrates are also taught at col. 9, lines 8-20. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Weaver et al (US 5,962,557).

Weaver et al teach polymeric pellets having the instant shade and their use at col. 1, lines 11-21, col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 8 and in examples 1-3. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,697 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-25 of copending Application No. 10/278,013. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant coating and molded articles encompasses those of said application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/007,697

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714 Page 5

THY/December 18, 2003